



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/883,590	06/18/2001	Renee Frengut	3313/01334	1985

7590 05/10/2005

DANIEL S. POLLEY, P.A..  
DANIEL S. POLLEY, ESQ.  
1215 EAST BROWARD BOULEVARD  
FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301

EXAMINER

BOYCE, ANDRE D

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3623

DATE MAILED: 05/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/883,590	<b>Applicant(s)</b> FRENGUT, RENEE	
	<b>Examiner</b> Andre Boyce	<b>Art Unit</b> 3623	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Andre Boyce. (3) Renee Frengut (Inventor).  
 (2) Dan Polley. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 06 May 2005.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: The inventor discussed the invention via a packet of information, which included background information, visual samples, and recognition and awards. The inventor also presented a sample demonstration of the inventive concept (i.e., previously recorded live studies).

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Davis (USPN 6,256,663).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants discussed how the invention is distinguishable over the cited prior art. Applicants and the Examiner also discussed the amendments made to claim 1, and how the amendments are more clearly directed towards the inventive concept. The Examiner indicated that an additional search would be conducted based upon the amendments.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner's signature, if required

*pd*